

Disaster Risk Assessment for Earthquakes: Demonstration

Produced as a part of a series of videos within the METEOR project





METEOR project



funded by:



Modeling Exposure Through Earth Observation Routines

- Three-year project
- Funded by UK Space Agency
- Aims to develop innovative application of Earth Observation (EO) technologies to improve understanding of exposure
- Specific focus on pilot countries Nepal and Tanzania
- Consortium of eight organizations

project consortium:





Components of risk

HAZARD

The likelihood, probability, or chance of a potentially destructive phenomenon.



HAZARD

EXPOSURE

The location, attributes, and values of assets that are important to communities.

27.7000° N, 85.3333° E

VULNERABILITY

The likelihood that assets will be damaged or destroyed when exposed to a hazard event.



roof: steel Source: gfdrr.org/sites/gfdrr/files/publication/opendri fg web 20140629b 0.pdf **EXPOSURE**

material: cinder block

VULNERABILITY

The **RISK** occurs when there is a spatial and temporal overlap of these three elements





OpenQuake Engine

Combines seismic hazard and risk calculations



Probabilistic and deterministic calculations

Supports calculations at different scales

Incorporates a wide spectrum of uncertainties

Runs in single computers or clusters

Free, public and open source code













Installing OpenQuake Engine

gith	lub.com/gem/og-engl	
	Pull requests Issues Marketplace Explore	+-
	↔ Code ① Issues 35 ① Pull requests 5 ◎ Actions	
	OpenQuake's Engine for Seismic Hazard and Risk Analysis https://github.com/gem/oq-engine/#ope earthquakes seismic hazard risk risk-analysis risk-assessment hazard-assessment openquake python cluster hpc scientific-computing psha openquake-engine	
	- 148 718 commits U 95 branches MO nackanes 78 releases / 1 environment 11 46 contributors db 4001-30	
	Branch: master + New pull request Create new file Upload files Find file Clone or download +	
	Branch: master v New pull request Create new file Upload files Find file Clone or download v Image: micheles Updated case_16/amplification.csv [skip Cl] Latest commit 7883224 6 hours ago	
	Branch: master v New pull request Create new file Upload files Find file Clone or download v Image: micheles Updated case_16/amplification.csv [skip CI] Latest commit 7883224 6 hours ago Image: micheles Updated case_16/amplification.csv [skip CI] 11 months ago	
	Branch: master New pull request Create new file Upload files Find file Colone or download Im micheles Updated case_16/amplification.csv [skip Cl] Latest commit 7833224 6 hours ago Im .github Update FUNDING.yml 11 months ago Im .travis More checks on repo files (#4765) 11 months ago	
	Branch: master • New pull request Create new file Upload files Find file Clone or download • github Update FUNDING yml	
	Image: Contraction of the contraction o	
	Image: Contraction of the potentiage Create new file Upload files Find file Clone or download Branch: master • New pull request Create new file Upload files Find file Clone or download • Image: micheles Updated case_16/amplification.csv [skip CI] Latest commit 7883224 6 hours ago Image: micheles Updated case_16/amplification.csv [skip CI] Latest commit 7883224 6 hours ago Image: micheles Updated case_16/amplification.csv [skip CI] 11 months ago Image: micheles Updated case_16/amplification.csv [skip Azardlib] 6 days ago Image: micheles Updated case_16/amplification.csv [skip hazardlib] 6 days ago Image: micheles Updated case_16/amplification.csv [skip hazardlib] 6 days ago Image: micheles Updated case_16/amplification.csv [skip CI] 8 hours ago Image: micheles Updated case_16/amplification.csv [skip CI] 10 days ago	
	Image: Contraction Contractio	
	Image: Construction Constructin Construction Constructin	
	Image: Contraction Contractio	

https://meteor-project.org



OpenQuake Engine Manual

https://docs.openquake.org/manuals/







Listing 22 - Example hazard map NRML output file

4.3.2 Outputs from Hazard Disaggregation

The OpenQuake-engine output of a disaggregation analysis corresponds to the combination of a hazard curve and a multidimensional matrix containing the results of the disaggregation. For a typical disaggregation calculation the list of outputs are the following:

use	r@ubuntu:"\$ oq enginelo <calc_id></calc_id>
id	name
3	Disaggregation Outputs
5 I	Full Report
6	Realizations
_	

Running --export-output to export the disaggregation results will produce individual files for each IMT, probability of exceedence and site. In presence of a nontrivial logic tree the user can specify the realization on which to perform the disaggregation by setting the rlz_index parameter in the job. ini. file. If not specified, for each site the engine will determine the realization closest to the mean hazard curve and will use that realization to perform the disaggregation.

In the following inset we show an example of the nrml file used to represent the different disaggregation matrices (highlighted in red) produced by oq-engine:







The building portfolio was organised into four classes for the rural areas (adobe, dressed stone, unreinforced fired brick, wooden frames), and five classes for the urban areas (the adorementioned typologies, in addition to reinforced concrete buildings). For each one of these building typologies, vulnerability functions and fragility functions were collected from the published literature available for the region. These input models are only for demonstrative purposes and for further information about the building characteristics of Negal, uses are advised to contact the National Society for Earthquake Technology of Negal (NSET - http://www.set.org.m.).

The following sections include instructions not only on how to run the risk calculations, but also on how to produce the necessary hazard inputs. Thus, each demo comprises the configuration file, exposure model and fragility or vulnerability models fundamental for the risk calculations. Each demo folder also a configuration file and the input models to produce the relevant hazard inputs.

10.1 Scenario Damage Demos

178

A rupture of magnitude Mw 7 in the central part of Nepal is considered in this demo. The characteristics of this rupture (geometry, dp, rake, hypocentre, upper and lower seismogenic depth) are defined in the fault_rupture.xml file, and the hazard and risk calculation settings are specified in the job. in file.

To run the Scenario Damage demo, users should navigate to the folder where the required files have been placed and employ following command:

> Oxford Policy Management



https://meteor-project.o











OpenQuake Engine Calculators

Hazard

- Scenario Hazard
- Classical PSHA
- Event-based PSHA

Risk

- Scenario Damage or Loss
- Classical Damage or Loss
- Event-based Damage or Loss







OpenQuake Engine Scenario Model





Useful links for OpenQuake

- Main OpenQuake site (versions, installers and development): <u>https://github.com/gem/oq-engine</u>
- OpenQuake Documentation: Hazard and risk manuals, QA testing <u>https://docs.openquake.org/manuals/</u>
- OpenQuake Input Preparation Toolkit (online version): <u>https://platform.openquake.org/ipt</u>
- OpenQuake Support Forum:

https://groups.google.com/g/openquake-users





Running OpenQuake

Command Line

Web Interface





Command line

Graphical web browser interface to run OQ calculations

OpenQuake manual

Plugins: • Input Preparation Toolkit - IPT

• QGIS





Command line basics

Running the model > oq engine --run path/to/job.ini

Listing all results

> oq engine --lo <calc_id>

Exporting a specific result

> oq engine --eo <output_id> path/to/output/folder

Exporting all results

> oq engine --eos <calc_id> path/to/output/folder

Starting the web interface

> oq webui start





Demo #1: Scenario Hazard





Scenario hazard | Input and output files



https://meteor-project.or



Scenario hazard | Input files





Scenario hazard | Job configuration file

[general]

description = 2015 Gorkha (Scenario Hazard)
calculation_mode = scenario
example comment

[rupture]

rupture_model_file = rupture_model.xml
rupture_mesh_spacing = 2.0

[sites] site_model_file = sites_vs30.csv

[hazard_calculation]

intensity_measure_types = PGA, SA(0.3)
gsim = CampbellBozorgnia2014
truncation_level = 3.0
maximum_distance = 500
number_of_ground_motion_fields = 100

A T H O

[output] export_dir = out

https://meteor-project.o

- ← description of your model
- $\leftarrow \text{desired OQ-engine calculator}$
- \leftarrow example of a comment or note (not used in analysis)
- ← rupture model path
 ← mesh size (in km) used to discretize the rupture in OQ
- ← site model path
- ← desired intensity measures
- ← desired ground motion prediction model (GMPE)
- ← desired truncation level for GMPE
- \leftarrow max distance from source to compute ground motion
- ← desired number of stochastic ground motion fields





Scenario hazard | Rupture model file

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?> <nrml xmlns:gml="<u>http://www.opengis.net/gml</u>" xmlns=" http://openguake.org/xmlns/nrml/0.4">

<singlePlaneRupture>

<magnitude>7.8</magnitude> <rake>100</rake> <hypocenter lon="84.731" lat="28.231"depth="8"/>

<planarSurface strike="293" dip="7"> <bottomLeft lon="84.62" lat="28.40" depth="20"/> <bottomRight lon="86.17" lat="27.96" depth="20"/> <topLeft lon="84.47" lat="27.84" depth="13"/> <topRight lon="85.86" lat="27.38" depth="13"/> </planarSurface>

</singlePlaneRupture>

</nrml>













The rupture model file defines the scenario:

- Magnitude
- Geometry
- Mechanism

Oxford Policy

Manaaemeni

In this example, we are using the parameters described by the USGS finite fault model for the 2015 Gorkha earthquake https://earthquake.usqs.gov/earthquakes/event page/us20002926/finite-fault?source=us&code= us20002926

The Input Preparation Toolkit (IPT) can be used to create your own rupture model file: https://platform.openguake.org/ipt/



Scenario hazard | Site model file

lon	lat	vs30	z1pt0	z2pt5	vs30measured
87.6574	27.3591	880.94	17.62	0.51	1
87.6963	27.3795	900.0	15.46	0.5	1
87.7225	27.4006	900.0	15.46	0.5	1
87.7322	27.448	900.0	15.46	0.5	1
87.6774	27.3319	900.0	15.46	0.5	1
87.9067	27.4038	900.0	15.46	0.5	1
87.8486	27.4308	900.0	15.46	0.5	1
87.8601	27.3874	636.16	98.8	0.74	1
87.8329	27.3749	900.0	15.46	0.5	1
88.0246	27.561	619.68	110.45	0.77	1
87.7069	27.3178	900.0	15.46	0.5	1
87.7482	27.3087	867.49	19.34	0.52	1
87.7773	27.4064	900.0	15.46	0.5	1
87.7485	27.3493	900.0	15.46	0.5	1
87.8318	27.3371	900.0	15.46	0.5	1

British

Survey

Geological

BGS

https://meteor-projec

The site model provides inputs to the ground motion prediction equations, such as the shear wave velocity in the upper 30 m ($V_{S,30}$)

In this example, we are using values interpolated from the USGS Global $V_{s,30}$ Map, which is available for download here: https://earthquake.usgs.gov/data/vs30/





Scenario hazard | Ground motion models

100+ ground motion prediction equations (GMPEs) have been implemented in OQ for various tectonic regions

https://github.com/gem/oq-engine/tree/m aster/openquake/hazardlib/gsim

BGS

https://meteor-projec

British

Survey

Geological

🛱 gem / oq-engine	Sponsor Statch - 33	★ Unstar	192 양 For	rk 164
<> Code () Issues 29 (1) Pu	Il requests 6	🕮 Wiki		
۶۶ master - oq-engine / openqua	ake / hazardlib / gsim /	Go	o to file A	dd file -
micheles Small renaming [skip Cl]		✓ 23	hours ago 🕚	History
abrahamson_2014.py	Fixing tests		22 c	lays ago
abrahamson_2015.py	Adding reference conditions		9 c	lays ago
abrahamson_2018.py	Cosmetic change [skip CI]		2 mor	nths ago
abrahamson_silva_1997.py	Define several sets as {'smth'} instead of set(('	smth',))	8 mor	nths ago
abrahamson_silva_2008.py	Define several sets as {'smth'} instead of set(('	smth',))	8 mor	nths ago
afshari_stewart_2016.py	Define several sets as {'smth'} instead of set(('	smth',))	8 mor	nths ago
🗋 akkar_2013.py	Updated copyright to 2020		10 mor	nths ago
🗅 akkar_2014.py	Pass all input args toinit		26 c	lays ago
akkar_bommer_2010.py	Adding reference velocity to AB10		26 c	lays ago

NSET

Oxford Policy



Scenario hazard | Running the analysis

Let's run the model...







Scenario hazard | Outputs from calculation

sitemesh_<id>.csv

site_id	lon	lat
0	87.65740	27.35910
1	87.69630	27.37950
2	87.72250	27.40060
3	87.73220	27.44800
4	87.67740	27.33190
5	87.90670	27.40380
6	87.84860	27.43080
7	87.86010	27.38740
8	87.83290	27.37490
9	88.02460	27.56100
10	87.70690	27.31780

gmf-data_<*id*>.csv

site_id	event_id	gmv_PGA	gmv_SA(0.3)	gmv_SA(0.6)	gmv_SA(1.0)
0	0	2.781869E-02	4.838535E-02	1.201265E-02	3.626274E-02
1	0	7.036357E-02	1.851527E-02	2.214223E-02	4.367710E-02
2	0	4.183962E-02	1.828716E-02	2.682907E-02	3.176953E-02
3	0	3.525484E-02	4.715155E-02	7.344340E-03	1.356439E-02
4	0	1.909876E-02	6.542979E-02	1.604086E-02	1.217751E-02
5	0	1.581660E-02	1.132581E-02	6.596297E-03	1.740119E-02
6	0	1.284939E-02	2.349910E-02	1.887096E-02	2.407458E-02
7	0	5.114931E-02	2.537706E-02	6.280401E-02	1.070349E-01
8	0	3.120039E-02	4.750334E-02	7.753461E-02	8.244608E-03
9	0	3.544920E-02	1.369540E-02	3.451663E-02	8.229124E-03
10	0	1.123054E-02	1.744190E-02	1.231883E-02	2.400807E-02

The number of rows will be equal to the number of sites multiplied by the number of ground motion fields requested

geolocation of each site id modeled ground motion values for each desired intensity measure type (e.g. PGA, SA(0.3)) and site id and event id





Scenario hazard | Hazard maps





Scenario hazard | Hazard maps





Demo #1: Scenario Damage





Scenario damage | Input and output files







Scenario damage | Input files





Scenario damage | Job configuration file

[general]

description = 2015 Gorkha (Scenario Damage)
calculation_mode = scenario_damage

• • •

[exposure] exposure_file = Exposure.xml

[vulnerability]

taxonomy_mapping_csv = taxonomy_map.csv
structural_fragility_file = structural_fragility.xml

[risk]

minimum_intensity = {"PGA":0.05, "SA(0.3)":0.05, "SA(0.6)":0.05, "SA(1.0)":0.05}

[output] export_dir = out

← description of your model

← desired OQ-engine calculator

← exposure model path

- ← taxonomy mapping csv path ← fragility model path
- ← minimum intensity values considered for
 - damage analysis
- $\leftarrow \text{desired output directory}$









Scenario damage | Exposure model file

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?> <nrml xmlns:gml="<u>http://www.opengis.net/gml</u>" xmlns=" <u>http://openguake.org/xmlns/nrml/0.4</u>">

<exposureModel category="buildings" id="exposure" taxonomySource="GEM
taxonomy">

```
<description>Exposure Model</description>
```

```
<conversions>
<costTypes>
<costType name="structural" type="aggregated" unit="USD"/>
</costTypes>
</conversions>
```

```
<tagNames>ID_4</tagNames>
```

<assets>Exposure_Residential_Nepal.csv</assets>

```
</exposureModel>
```

</nrml>













← Loss types and units of currency

```
← Additional tags or
attributes of
interest
```

← Location(s) of exposure CSV files

Oxford Policy

Manaaement



Scenario damage | Exposure model file

id	lon	lat	taxonomy	number	structural	tot_size_m	zone	dist_name	ID_4	NAME_4
0	87.65735309	27.35906047	C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3	317	16568228.77	56818.34284	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 001	Phungling
1	87.65735309	27.35906047	MUR+CL99+MOC	338	19373503.43	90873.501	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 001	Phungling
2	87.65735309	27.35906047	MUR+CL99+MOM	335	7904714.341	59895.08957	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 001	Phungling
3	87.65735309	27.35906047	MUR+STRUB+MOL	424	4243355.874	37998.38701	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 001	Phungling
4	87.65735309	27.35906047	MUR+STRUB+MOM	1992	18093813.84	178229.0567	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 001	Phungling
5	87.65735309	27.35906047	W+WWD	437	3374669.866	39058.679	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 001	Phungling
6	87.69630437	27.3794796	C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3	117	4872391.885	16709.16284	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 002	Hangdewa
7	87.69630437	27.3794796	MUR+CL99+MOC	1	57318.05747	268.8565118	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 002	Hangdewa
8	87.69630437	27.3794796	MUR+CL99+MOM	113	2120455.469	16066.97785	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 002	Hangdewa
9	87.69630437	27.3794796	MUR+STRUB+MOL	119	952988.6461	8533.819096	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 002	Hangdewa
10	87.69630437	27.3794796	MUR+STRUB+MOM	729	5279562.401	52005.14579	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 002	Hangdewa
11	87.69630437	27.3794796	W+WWD	114	703451.4498	8141.799187	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 002	Hangdewa
12	87.72248825	27.40058186	C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3	2	82729.73276	283.709646	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 003	Phuurumbu
13	87.72248825	27.40058186	MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3	2	13788.28879	141.854823	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 003	Phuurumbu
14	87.72248825	27.40058186	MUR+CL99+MOM	91	1703650.323	12908.78889	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 003	Phuurumbu
15	87.72248825	27.40058186	MUR+STRUB+MOL	102	807901.8014	7234.595972	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 003	Phuurumbu
16	87.72248825	27.40058186	MUR+STRUB+MOM	346	2491390.582	24540.88438	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 003	Phuurumbu
17	87.72248825	27.40058186	W+WWD	93	569915.9368	6596.249269	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 003	Phuurumbu
18	87.73216883	27.44802489	MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3	58	399860.375	4113.789866	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 004	Limkhim
19	87.73216883	27.44802489	MUR+CL99+MOM	1	18721.43212	141.854823	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 004	Limkhim
20	87.73216883	27.44802489	MUR+STRUB+MOL	69	546521.8068	4893.991393	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 004	Limkhim
21	87.73216883	27.44802489	MUR+STRUB+MOM	366	2635401.598	25959.43261	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 004	Limkhim
22	87.73216883	27.44802489	W+WWD	55	337047.0594	3901.007632	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 004	Limkhim
23	87.67735059	27.33193359	C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3	130	4807437.243	16486.4103	Mechi	Taplejung	524 1 01 01 5 005	Dokhu

https://meteor-project.ok

Required attributes

- · ID
- Geolocation (lon, lat)
- Taxonomy
- Number
- Value (e.g., structural)

Optional attributes Any information that would be useful for you, such as a field to aggregate by





Scenario damage | Visualization of exposure data





Scenario damage | Taxonomy map file

taxonomy	conversion	weight
C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3	C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3	1
MUR+CL99+MOC	MUR+CL99+MOC	1
MUR+CL99+MOM	MUR+CL99+MOM	1
MUR+STRUB+MOL	MUR+STRUB+MOL	1
MUR+STRUB+MOM	MUR+STRUB+MOM	1
W+WWD	W+WWD	1
MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3	MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3	1
C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:4,7	C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:4,7	1
C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:8,20	C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:8,20	1
MATO/LN	MATO/LN	1
MUR+CL99/HBET:1,3	MUR+CL99/HBET:1,3	1
MUR+CL99/HBET:4,7	MUR+CL99/HBET:4,7	1
S	S	1
S/LFINF	S/LFINF	1
W	W	1

Survey

The exposure building class (taxonomy) and the vulnerability building class (conversion) can differ

An example is shown here, where there is a direct 1:1 mapping

If uncertainty in the mapping is to be considered, multiple conversion classes can be referenced for each **taxonomy** class along with each associated weight









Scenario damage | Fragility model file

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>

- <nrml xmlns="http://openquake.org/xmlns/nrml/0.5">
- <fragilityModel id="fragility_model" assetCategory="buildings" lossCategory="structural">
- <description>Fragility model for Nepal</description>
- <limitStates> slight moderate extensive complete </limitStates>
- <fragilityFunction id="C99/LFINF+DN0/HBET:1,3" format="discrete">
- <imls imt="SA(0.3)" noDamageLimit="0.050000"> 0 0.018144 0.019294 0.020518 0.02182 0.023204 0.024676 0.026241 0.027906 0.029676 0.03155
 0.033561 0.03569 0.037953 0.040361 0.042921 0.045644 0.048539 0.051619 0.054893 0.058375 0.062078 0.066016 0.070204 0.074657 0.079393
 0.084429 0.089785 0.09548 0.101537 0.107978 0.114828 0.122112 0.129858 0.138095 0.146855 0.156077 0.176612 0.187816 0.19973
 0.2124 0.225873 0.240201 0.255438 0.271642 0.288873 0.307198 0.3276685 0.347488 0.397488 0.444307 0.472491 0.502464
- 0.534337 0.568233 0.604278 0.622611 0.683374 0.726724 0.772823 0.821847 0.873981 0.929421 0.988379 1.05108 1.11775 1.18866 1.26406
- 1.34424 1.42951 1.52019 1.61663 1.71918 1.82823 1.9442 2.06753 2.19869 2.33816 2.48648 2.64421 2.81194 2.99032 3.18001 3.38173 3.59625 3.82438 4.06697 4.32496 4.59931 4.89107 5.20133 5.53127 5.88215 6.25528 6.65208 7.07406 7.5228 8 </imls>
- 9 cpces ls="slight"> 0 0 1e-06 1e-06 2e-06 3e-06 5e-06 7e-06 1.1e-05 1.7e-05 2.7e-05 4.1e-05 6.2e-05 9.2e-05 0.000137 0.000201 0.000291
- 0.00042 0.000599 0.000846 0.001185 0.001644 0.002259 0.003076 0.004151 0.005549 0.007352 0.009652 0.012558 0.016192 0.02692 0.02629
- 0.032906 0.040955 0.050531 0.061811 0.074967 0.090158 0.107524 0.127181 0.149208 0.173646 0.20049 0.229684 0.261117 0.294625 0.32999 0.366944 0.405174 0.444332 0.484042 0.52391 0.563541 0.602543 0.640546 0.677206 0.71222 0.74533 0.776327 0.805059 0.831425 0.855381
- 0.87693 0.896122 0.913044 0.927817 0.940585 0.951512 0.960768 0.968533 0.974981 0.980283 0.984598 0.988076 0.990852 0.993044 0.994759
- 0.996087 0.997105 0.997878 0.998459 0.998891 0.99921 0.999442 0.999609 0.9999729 0.999814 0.999874 0.999915 0.999943 0.999962 0.999975
 0.999984 0.99999 0.999993 0.999996 0.999997 0.999998 0.999999 0.999999 1
- 0.0107 0.013873 0.017825 0.022701 0.028656 0.035856 0.044475 0.054691 0.066679 0.080605 0.096624 0.114867 0.135434 0.158394 0.183768
- 0.211532 0.24161 0.273869 0.308126 0.344141 0.381629 0.420263 0.45968 0.499499 0.539322 0.578754 0.617412 0.654933 0.690989 0.725293
- 0.757605 0.78774 0.815563 0.840998 0.864018 0.884645 0.902945 0.919018 0.932996 0.94503 0.955288 0.963945 0.971179 0.977163 0.982064
 0.986038 0.989229 0.991765 0.993761 0.995315 0.996515 0.997431 0.998124 0.998642
- 0.706852 0.740275 0.771615 0.800709 0.827451 0.851786 0.87371 0.893266 0.910537 0.925638 0.93871 0.949914 0.95942 0.967407 0.97405
 0.979521 0.983981 0.987581
- 0.005677 0.007516 0.00986 0.012819 0.016517 0.021093 0.026698 0.033497 0.04166 0.051366 0.06279 0.076102 0.091462 0.109008 0.128851
- 0.151069 0.1757 0.202735 0.232111 0.263717 0.297382 0.332884 0.369952 0.40827 0.447486 0.487223 0.527087 0.566681 0.605617 0.643525
- 0.680064 0.714935 0.747883 0.778705 0.80725 0.833426 0.857189 0.878547 0.897554 0.9143 0.928908 0.941523 0.952309 0.96144 </poes>
 </fragilityEurophics













In this example, we consider four limit states (slight, moderate, extensive, complete)

The **imls** tag provides values at the x-axis

The **poes** tag provides values at the y-axis

Oxford Policy

Management



Scenario damage | Running the analysis

Let's run the model...







Scenario damage | Outputs from calculation

dmg_by_event_<*id*>.csv

event_id	rlz_id	structural~no_damage	structural~slight	structural~moderate	structural~extensive	structural~complete
0	0	4.67976e+06	877361	134552	41122	34223
1	0	4.47972e+06	981171	184973	62486	58666
2	0	4.44227e+06	964019	192178	75939	92605
3	0	4.7151e+06	777894	144859	55187	73976
4	0	4.50138e+06	936466	178998	67982	82183
5	0	4.62461e+06	894664	155584	49831	42327
6	0	4.20146e+06	1.11481e+06	247259	94998	108481
7	0	4.69063e+06	768673	149858	61119	96736
8	0	3.91718e+06	1.23642e+06	317819	131826	163770
9	0	4.33652e+06	1.00548e+06	222654	89524	112832
10	0	4.38959e+06	1.0309e+06	205751	72353	68422

aggregated counts in each damage state for each event

damages-<*rlz*>_<*id*>.csv

asset_id	ID_4	taxonomy	lon	lat	structural~no_damage	structural~slight	structural~moderate	structural~extensive	structural~complet
0	524 1 01 01 5 001	C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3	87.65735	27.35906	3.157200E+02	1.270000E+00	1.000000E-02	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
1	524 1 01 01 5 001	MUR+CL99+MOC	87.65735	27.35906	3.364300E+02	1.490000E+00	7.000000E-02	1.000000E-02	0.000000E+00
2	524 1 01 01 5 001	MUR+CL99+MOM	87.65735	27.35906	3.334300E+02	1.470000E+00	1.000000E-01	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
3	524 1 01 01 5 001	MUR+STRUB+MOL	87.65735	27.35906	4.222300E+02	1.690000E+00	8.000000E-02	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
4	524 1 01 01 5 001	MUR+STRUB+MOM	87.65735	27.35906	1.983330E+03	8.020000E+00	5.800000E-01	4.000000E-02	3.000000E-02
5	524 1 01 01 5 001	W+WWD	87.65735	27.35906	4.333000E+02	3.650000E+00	5.000000E-02	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
6	524 1 01 01 5 002	C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3	87.69630	27.37948	1.163100E+02	6.500000E-01	4.000000E-02	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
7	524 1 01 01 5 002	MUR+CL99+MOC	87.69630	27.37948	1.000000E+00	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
8	524 1 01 01 5 002	MUR+CL99+MOM	87.69630	27.37948	1.122400E+02	6.900000E-01	6.000000E-02	1.000000E-02	0.000000E+00
9	524 1 01 01 5 002	MUR+STRUB+MOL	87.69630	27.37948	1.183400E+02	5.500000E-01	8.000000E-02	3.000000E-02	0.000000E+00
10	524 1 01 01 5 002	MUR+STRUB+MOM	87.69630	27.37948	7.247000E+02	3.840000E+00	3.100000E-01	9.000000E-02	6.000000E-02
11	524 1 01 01 5 002	W+WWD	87.69630	27.37948	1.128600E+02	1.120000E+00	2.000000E-02	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
12	524 1 01 01 5 003	C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3	87.72249	27.40058	1.990000E+00	1.000000E-02	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
13	524 1 01 01 5 003	MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3	87.72249	27.40058	1.980000E+00	2.000000E-02	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
14	524 1 01 01 5 003	MUR+CL99+MOM	87.72249	27.40058	9.086000E+01	1.400000E-01	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
15	524 1 01 01 5 003	MUR+STRUB+MOL	87.72249	27.40058	1.018300E+02	1.700000E-01	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
16	524 1 01 01 5 003	MUR+STRUB+MOM	87.72249	27.40058	3.454400E+02	5.600000E-01	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
17	524 1 01 01 5 003	W+WWD	87.72249	27.40058	9.261000E+01	3.900000E-01	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
18	524 1 01 01 5 004	MUR+ADO/HBET:1,3	87.73217	27.44802	5.769000E+01	3.100000E-01	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
19	524 1 01 01 5 004	MUR+CL99+MOM	87.73217	27.44802	9.90000E-01	1.000000E-02	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
20	524 1 01 01 5 004	MUR+STRUB+MOL	87.73217	27.44802	6.885000E+01	1.500000E-01	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00
21	524 1 01 01 5 004	MUR+STRUB+MOM	87.73217	27.44802	3.653700E+02	6.300000E-01	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00	0.000000E+00

count in each damage state on a site-per-site basis, along with additional tags retained (e.g., ID_4)





















Demo #1: Scenario Risk





Scenario risk | Input and output files









Scenario risk | Job configuration file

[general]

description = 2015 Gorkha (Scenario Risk) calculation mode = scenario risk

[exposure] exposure file = Exposure.xml

[vulnerability]

taxonomy_mapping_csv = taxonomy_map.csv structural vulnerability file = structural vulnerability.xml

[risk] minimum intensity = {"PGA":0.05, "SA(0.3)":0.05, "SA(0.6)":0.05. "SA(1.0)":0.05}

[output] export dir = out











← description of your model

← desired OQ-engine calculator

 \leftarrow exposure model path

- ← taxonomy mapping csv path ← vulnerability model path
- ← minimum intensity values considered for damage analysis

← desired output directory

Oxford Policy



Scenario risk | Vulnerability model file

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>

<nrml xmlns="http://openquake.org/xmlns/nrml/0.5">

<vulnerabilityModel id="vm-global" assetCategory="buildings" lossCategory="structural">

<description>Vulnerability model for Nepal</description>

<vulnerabilityFunction id="C99/LFINF+DN0/HBET:1,3" dist="LN">

<imls imt="SA(0,3)"> 0.018144 0.019294 0.020518 0.02182 0.023204 0.024676 0.026241 0.027906 0.029676 0.031559 0.033561 0.03569 0.037953 0.040361 0.042921 0.045644 0.048539 0.051619 0.054893 0.058375 0.062078 0.066016 0.070204 0.074657 0.079393 0.084429 0.089785 0.09548

0.101537 0.107978 0.114828 0.122112 0.129858 0.138095 0.146855 0.156171 0.166077 0.176612 0.187816 0.19973 0.2124 0.225873 0.240201

- 0.255438 0.271642 0.288873 0.307198 0.326685 0.347408 0.369446 0.392882 0.417804 0.444307 0.472491 0.502464 0.534337 0.568233 0.604278
- 0.642611 0.683374 0.726724 0.772823 0.821847 0.873981 0.929421 0.988379 1.05108 1.11775 1.18866 1.26406 1.34424 1.42951 1.52019 1.61663
- 1.71918 1.82823 1.9442 2.06753 2.19869 2.33816 2.48648 2.64421 2.81194 2.99032 3.18001 3.38173 3.59625 3.82438 4.06697 4.32496 4.59931
- 4.89107 5.20133 5.53127 5.88215 6.25528 6.65208 7.07406 7.5228 8 </imls>
- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3e-05 4.2e-05 5.9e-05 8.2e-05 0.000113 0.000154 0.000208 0.000278 0.000368 0.000484 0.00063 0.000813 0.00104 0.001318 0.001657 0.002066 0.002553 0.00313 0.003806 0.004591 0.005496 0.00653 0.007702 0.00902 0.010494 0.01213
- 0.013938 0.015925 0.018104 0.020485 0.023085 0.025923 0.029027 0.032427 0.036164 0.040289 0.044859 0.049946 0.055629 0.062 0.069161
- 0.077221 0.086297 0.09651 0.107983 0.120836 0.135186 0.151135 0.168775 0.188174 0.209381 0.232413 0.257259 0.283872 0.312168 0.342029
- 0.3733 0.405789 0.439278 0.473517 0.508236 0.543153 0.577975 0.612409 0.646171 0.678989 0.710616 0.740829 0.769439 0.796293 0.821276
- 0.844311 0.865361 0.884423 0.90153 0.916743 0.930149 0.941854 0.95198 0.96066 0.968032 0.974234 0.979405 </meanLRs>

https://meteor-project

<vulnerabilityFunction id="MUR+CL99+MOC" dist="LN">

- <imls imt="SA(0.3)"> 0.018144 0.019294 0.020518 0.02182 0.023204 0.024676 0.026241 0.027906 0.029676 0.031559 0.033561 0.03569 0.037953 0.040361 0.042921 0.045644 0.048539 0.051619 0.054893 0.058375 0.062078 0.066016 0.070204 0.074657 0.079393 0.084429 0.089785 0.09548
- 0.101537 0.107978 0.114828 0.122112 0.129858 0.138095 0.146855 0.156171 0.166077 0.176612 0.187816 0.19973 0.2124 0.225873 0.240201
- 0.255438 0.271642 0.288873 0.307198 0.326685 0.347408 0.369446 0.392882 0.417804 0.444307 0.472491 0.502464 0.534337 0.568233 0.604278
- 0.642611 0.683374 0.726724 0.772823 0.821847 0.873981 0.929421 0.988379 1.05108 1.11775 1.18866 1.26406 1.34424 1.42951 1.52019 1.61663

0.016159 0.019074 0.022415 0.026239 0.030611 0.035607 0.041315 0.047832 0.055272 0.063755 0.073414 0.084389 0.096825 0.110864 0.126646

0.144298 0.16393 0.185627 0.209444 0.235395 0.263454 0.293546 0.325548 0.359283 0.394528 0.431012 0.468425 0.506425 0.544648 0.582718 0.620259 0.656905 0.692316 0.726182 0.758236 0.78826 0.816086 0.841605 0.864758 0.885541 0.903996 0.920207 0.934293 0.946398 0.956687 0.978443 0.983251 0.987117 0.990191 0.992607 0.994485 0.995929 0.997026 0.99785 0.998462 0.998912

- 1.71918 1.82823 1.9442 2.06753 2.19869 2.33816 2.48648 2.64421 2.81194 2.99032 3.18001 3.38173 3.59625 3.82438 4.06697 4.32496 4.59931
- 4.89107 5.20133 5.53127 5.88215 6.25528 6.65208 7.07406 7.5228 8 </imls>
- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1.8e-05 2.7e-05 3.9e-05 5.7e-05 8.2e-05 0.000116 0.000163 0.000227 0.000311 0.000423
- 0.000569 0.000757 0.000997 0.001301 0.001681 0.002152 0.002728 0.003429 0.004273 0.005279 0.006472 0.007875 0.009513 0.011418 0.013621

British

Survey

Geological

0 0 0 </cov/ Rs>

axis

class

The **covLRs** tag allows for a distribution to be considered around the yaxis values

provides values at the y-

The vulnerability model

specifies a vulnerability

curve for each building

The **imls** tag provides

values at the x-axis

The **meanLRs** tag

Oxford Policy





.

Scenario risk | Running the analysis

Let's run the model...







Scenario risk | Outputs from calculation

agglosses_<*id*>.csv

USD

mean

loss_type unit

structural

rlz id

0

avg_losses-mean_<*id*>.csv

asset id ID 4 taxonomy lon lat structural 524 1 01 01 5 001 C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3 87.65735 27.35906 8.00220E+03 524 1 01 01 5 001 MUR+CL99+MOC 87.65735 27.35906 1.14407E+04 524 1 01 01 5 001 MUR+CL99+MOM 87.65735 27.35906 4.66799E+03 2 3 524 1 01 01 5 001 MUR+STRUB+MOL 87.65735 27.35906 2.40589E+03 4 524 1 01 01 5 001 MUR+STRUB+MOM 87.65735 27.35906 1.02588E+04 5 524 1 01 01 5 001 W+WWD 87.65735 27.35906 3.08002E+03 6 524 1 01 01 5 002 C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3 87.69630 27.37948 2.88702E+03 7 524 1 01 01 5 002 MUR+CL99+MOC 87.69630 27.37948 4.61448E+01 524 1 01 01 5 002 MUR+CL99+MOM 87.69630 27.37948 1.70711E+03 87.69630 27.37948 7.75534E+02 9 524 1 01 01 5 002 MUR+STRUB+MOL 524 1 01 01 5 002 MUR+STRUB+MOM 87.69630 27.37948 4.29646E+03 10

avg_losses-rl	<pre>_<rlz>_<id>.csv</id></rlz></pre>
---------------	---------------------------------------

asset_id	ID_4	taxonomy	lon	lat	structural
0	524 1 01 01 5 001	C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3	87.65735	27.35906	8.00220E+03
1	524 1 01 01 5 001	MUR+CL99+MOC	87.65735	27.35906	1.14407E+04
2	524 1 01 01 5 001	MUR+CL99+MOM	87.65735	27.35906	4.66799E+03
3	524 1 01 01 5 001	MUR+STRUB+MOL	87.65735	27.35906	2.40589E+03
4	524 1 01 01 5 001	MUR+STRUB+MOM	87.65735	27.35906	1.02588E+04
5	524 1 01 01 5 001	W+WWD	87.65735	27.35906	3.08002E+03
6	524 1 01 01 5 002	C99/LFINF+DNO/HBET:1,3	87.69630	27.37948	2.88702E+03
7	524 1 01 01 5 002	MUR+CL99+MOC	87.69630	27.37948	4.61448E+01
8	524 1 01 01 5 002	MUR+CL99+MOM	87.69630	27.37948	1.70711E+03
9	524 1 01 01 5 002	MUR+STRUB+MOL	87.69630	27.37948	7.75534E+02
10	524 1 01 01 5 002	MUR+STRUB+MOM	87.69630	27.37948	4.29646E+03

statistics for aggregated losses across all sites

mean losses for each site (across all realizations)

realized losses for each site (per realization)



stddev

3.814281E+09 1.749267E+09









Scenario risk | Scenario loss map

Geological Survey



ImageCat



Scenario risk | Scenario loss ratio map



A T

но

2015 Gorkha Estimated loss ratios Residential 0 - 1% 1-3% 3-6% 6 - 9% 9 - 12% 12 - 16% 16 - 21%



https://meteor-project.or Geological Survey

Thank you for your interest

For further information please see http://meteor-project.org

